

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/825,956	04/05/2001	Sabine Oepen	51315	5586	
26474	7590 04/29/2003				
KEIL & WEINKAUF			EXAMINER		
1350 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20036			MULLIS, JEFFREY C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1711		

DATE MAILED: 04/29/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	4
		09/825,956	OEPEN ET AL.	/
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jeffrey C. Mullis	1711	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication	•	1 1 1 1 1	dress
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perestored for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the mid patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a represent in the statutory minimum of thirty ind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT at the cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely 1S from the mailing date of this or	/. mmunication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	19 February 2003 .		
2a)⊠		This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und on of Claims	owance except for formal matte		e merits is
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-3 and 6 is/are pending in the ap	plication.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3 and 6 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
	on Papers	•		
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10) 🗌 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ ad	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🗌 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ dis	approved by the Examine	er.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.		
12) 🗌 🛚	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(á)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in App	olication No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for a l	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional	application
a) 15)∐ A	☐ The translation of the foreign language cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has bee	n received.	
Attachment		🗖		
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s ormal Patent Application (PTO	s) 9-152)
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev	- · - · ·	Action Summary	Dod of	Paper No. 8

Serial No. 09/825,956
Art Unit 1711

Claim 1 contains the phrase "by weigh" in the eighth line from the bottom of the claim thereof (sic, "weight"). Correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Dalton et al. (USP 4,064,093).

See the previous Office action at page 4 line 3 et seq.

When the reference discloses all the limitations of a claim except a property or function, and the Examiner cannot determine whether or not the reference inherently possesses properties

Serial No. 09/825,956

Art Unit 1711

which anticipate or render obvious the claimed invention, basis exists for shifting the burden of proof to applicant. Note <u>In re</u> <u>Fitzgerald et al.</u> 619 F. 2d 67, 70, 205 USPQ 594, 596, (CCPA 1980). See MPEP § 2112-2112.02.

Applicants' arguments filed 2-19-03 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

While it is true that such language as "adapted to", "whereby" and "thereby" cannot be ignored, it is applicants' burden to show that the claimed function is not inherently present in the reference as set out above. With regard to applicants' data, no data comparative to Dalton et al. has been presented which would prove that Dalton et al. does not inherently possess the claimed function or characteristic. Whether or not Dalton et al. was seeking to reduce the speck count through filtration, applicants' data indicates improved elongation at break by use of filtration through any filter size and it would therefore more than reasonably appear based on applicants' data that applicants' characteristic of improved elongation at break is inherent in the reference. Therefore the reference anticipates the claims. Whether or not one particular composition which is filtered has better elongation at break than another composition which is filtered is immaterial given that the reference anticipates the claims since unexpected results are immaterial to anticipation.

Serial No. 09/825,956
Art Unit 1711

Applicants' amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Mullis whose telephone number is (703) 308-2820. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:30.

Serial No. 09/825,956

Art Unit 1711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on (703) 308-2462. The fax phone number for this Group is before final (703) 872-9310 and after final (703) 8729311.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

J. Mullis:cdc

April 25, 2003

Jeffrey Mullis Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711